

Structured Product Details

Name Of	Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Securities linked to Citigroup	
Issue Size	\$175,000	
Issue Price	\$10	
Term	12 Months	
Annualized Coup	on 8.07%	
Pricing Date	January 7, 2014	
Issue Date	January 10, 2014	
Valuation Date	January 7, 2015	
Maturity Date	January 14, 2015	
Issuer	UBS	
CDS Rate	59.87 bps	
Swap Rate	0.59%	
Reference Asset	Citigroup's stock	
Initial Level	\$54.18	
Dividend Rate	0.07%	
Implied Volatil	ity 24.40%	
Fair Price at Issue		
Fair Price at Issue	e \$9.68	

CUSIP	90272A646
SEC Link	www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/
	data/1114446/000111444614000110/
	stp1389797f_1fwp.htm

Report Prepared On: 01/22/14

Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Securities linked to Citigroup

Description

UBS issued \$175,000 of Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Securities linked to Citigroup on January 10, 2014 at \$10 per note.


These 12-month notes are UBS-branded reverse convertible notes. On the quarterly coupon observation date, if the notes are not called back, they pay either quarterly coupon at an annualized rate of 8.07% if Citigroup's stock price closes above the coupon barrier \$46.05, or no coupon if the stock price closes below the barrier. The first coupon observation date is April 7, 2014. This autocallable notes will be called back if the reference stock price on any quarterly call observation date after April 7, 2014 exceeds the initial stock price \$54.18. In this case, investors receive the principal plus any unpaid coupons. At maturity, the notes convert into shares of the reference stock at the note's maturity is below the trigger price \$46.05 (85% of the reference asset on January 7, 2014). Otherwise, investors will receive the \$10 face value.

Valuation

This note can be viewed as a combination of a zero-coupon note from UBS, a series of contingent coupon payments, and a short put option on the reference asset. For reasonable valuation inputs this note was worth \$9.68 per \$10 face value when it was issued on January 10, 2014, including \$9.93 for the present value of the zero-coupon note, (\$0.57) for the short put options, and \$0.32 for the present value of all future contingent coupon payments.

There is no active secondary market for most structured products. Structured products, including this note, therefore are much less liquid than simple stocks, bonds, notes and mutual funds. Investors are likely to receive less than the structured product's estimated market value if they try to sell the structured product prior to maturity. Our valuations do not incorporate this relative lack of liquidity and therefore should be considered an upper bound on the value of the structured product.

Payoff Curve at Maturity



The payoff diagram shows the final payoff of this note given Citigroup's stock price (horizontal axis). For comparison, the dashed line shows the payoff if you invested in Citigroup's stock directly.

Related Research

Research Papers:

www.slcg.com/research.php

- "Are Structured Products Suitable for Retail Investors?" December 2006.
- *"Structured Products in the Aftermath of Lehman Brothers,"* November 2009.
- "What TiVo and JP Morgan Teach Us about Reverse Convertibles," June 2010.

Mike Yan, Ph.D., FRM

Senior Financial Economist, SLCG (+1) 703.539.6780 MikeYan@slcg.com

> FIND SLCG STRUCTURED PRODUCTS RESEARCH AT www.SLCG.com


© 2012 SECURITIES LITIGATION & CONSULTING GROUP. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. 3998 FAIR RIDGE DRIVE, SUITE 250, FAIRFAX, VA 22033 | MAIN (703) 246-9380 | INFO@SLCG.COM 100 WILSHIRE BLVD, SUITE 950, SANTA MONICA, CA 90401 | MAIN (310) 917-1075

Structured Products Research Report

Principal Payback Table

Citigroup's Stock	Note Payoff
\$0.00	\$0.00
\$5.42	\$1.00
\$10.84	\$2.00
\$16.25	\$3.00
\$21.67	\$4.00
\$27.09	\$5.00
\$32.51	\$6.00
\$37.93	\$7.00
\$43.34	\$8.00
\$48.76	\$10.00
\$54.18	\$10.00
\$59.60	\$10.00
\$65.02	\$10.00
\$70.43	\$10.00
\$75.85	\$10.00
\$81.27	\$10.00

Maturity Payoff Diagram



The contingent payoffs of this Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Security.

Analysis

The 8.07% coupon rate on this Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Security is higher than those paid by UBS on its straight debts but, in addition to UBS's credit risk, investors bear the risk that, 1) the note may be called; 2) the note may pay zero coupon because of the coupon contingency; 3) and the note will be converted into shares of Citigroup's stock when Citigroup's stock is worth substantially less than the face value of the note.


Investors purchasing these autocallable phoenix notes effectively sell contingent put options to UBS and post the note's issue price as collateral to secure satisfaction of the investors' obligations under the option contracts. UBS pays investors a contingent coupon that is part payment for the put options and part interest on the investors' posted collateral. This Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Security is fairly priced if and only if the difference between the contingent coupon and interest paid on UBS's straight debt equals the value of the contingent put options investors are giving to UBS. Whether this Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Security is suitable or not is identically equivalent to whether selling put options on the reference stock at the option premium being paid by UBS was suitable for the investor.

UBS's Stock Price




The graph above shows the adjusted closing price of the issuer UBS for the past several years. The stock price of the issuer is an indication of the financial strength of UBS. The adjusted price shown above incorporates any stock split, reverse stock split, etc.





Credit default swap (CDS) rates are the market price that investors require to bear credit risk of an issuer such as UBS. CDS rates are usually given in basis points (bps). One basis point equals 0.01%. Higher CDS rates reflect bigher perceived credit risk, bigher required yields, and therefore lower market value of UBS's debt, including outstanding Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Security. Fluctuations in UBS's CDS rate impact the market value of the notes in the secondary market.




Citigroup's Stock Price

The graph above shows the historical levels of Citigroup's stock for the past several years. The final payoff of this note is determined by Citigroup's stock price at maturity. Higher fluctuations in Citigroup's stock price correspond to a greater uncertainty in the final payout of this Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Security.

Realized Payoff


This product will mature on January 14, 2015.

Reference Asset Citigroup's Stock's Implied Volatility



The annualized implied volatility of Citigroup's stock on January 7, 2014 was 24.40%, meaning that options contracts on Citigroup's stock were trading at prices that reflect an expected annual volatility of 24.40%. The higher the implied volatility, the larger the expected fluctuations of Citigroup's stock price and of the Note's market value during the life of the Notes.

Decomposition of this Trigger Phoenix Autocallable Optimization Security



This note can be decomposed into different components, and each component can be valued separately. The chart above shows the value of each component of this Trigger Phoenix Autocall-able Optimization Security.

- Delta measures the sensitivity of the price of the note to the Citigroup's stock price on January 7, 2014.
 CDS rates can be considered a measure of the probability that an issuer will default over a certain period of time and the likely loss given a default. The lower the CDS rate, the lower the default probability. CDS rate is given in basis points (1 basis point equals 0.01%), and is considered as a market premium, on top of the risk-free rate, that investors require to insure against a potential default.
 Fair price evaluation is based on the Black-Scholes model of the Citigroup's stock on January 7, 2014.
 Calculated payout at maturity is only an approximation, and may differ from actual payouts at maturity.
 Our evaluation does not include any transaction fees, broker commissions, or liquidity discounts on the notes.

©2012 Securities Litigation and Consulting Group. All Rights Reserved. This research report and its contents are for informational and educational purposes only. The views and opinions on this document are those of the authors and should not be considered investment advice. Decisions based on information obtained from this document are your sole responsibility, and before making any decision on the basis of this information, you should consider whether the information is appropriate in light of your particular investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances. Investors should seek financial advice regarding the suitability of investing in any securities or following any investment strategies.